| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | 10/560,752 | BEN-AYUN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | LEE NGUYEN | 2618 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWANCE</u> | | |
| (1) <u>LEE NGUYEN</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>KENNETH LABUDDA</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 10 October 2007 | Time: <u>3:00PM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| 1, 3, 7 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Typographical error has been corrected with the examiner's ame | | DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| (Everyings/CDE Cignetius) | /Annligantia Danuara tatium O' | making if annual-4-1 |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Sig | gnature – ir appropriatė) |